

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/578,645	Applicant(s) GAMAND, PATRICE
		Examiner MALIHEH MALEK	Art Unit 2813

All Participants:

(1) Maliheh Malek, USPTO.

(2) Aaron Waxler, attorney.

Date of Interview: 24 February 2009

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:30 pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1 and 6

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested that the word "specific" to be deleted from claim 1 (line 10) and claim 6 (line 2). Applicant's representative agreed to the examiner's amendments.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/M. M./

Examiner, Art Unit 2813

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)